

ANSWER KEY		4.	2
1.	4.5	5.	14
2.	6	6.	14
3.	7.5	7.	18

1. We begin by noting that Phoenix walks for 18 minutes, or  $18 \cdot 60$  seconds each morning. Using the relationship  $d = rt$ , we may calculate that he walks  $d = (4)(18 \cdot 60)$  feet to the lab. However, last Thursday he lost two minutes by walking out and back to lock the door. To cover the same distance in 16 minutes, or  $16 \cdot 60$  seconds, his rate must be

$$r = \frac{d}{t} = \frac{4 \cdot 18 \cdot 60}{16 \cdot 60} = \frac{9}{2} = \mathbf{4.5} \text{ ft/sec.}$$

2. It is relatively easy to compute values for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ . To the nearest hundredth we obtain

$n =$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
value	18.5	10	7.5	6.5	6.1	6	6.07	6.25	6.5	6.8

It appears that the minimal value is achieved at  $n = 6$ . This can be proved with the AM-GM inequality, which states that


$$\frac{n}{2} + \frac{18}{n} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{18}{n}} = 2\sqrt{9} = 6,$$

with equality if and only if  $\frac{n}{2} = \frac{18}{n}$ , which occurs at  $n = 6$ .

3. Moving the decimal point one place to the left is equivalent to dividing by ten. Therefore we seek the real number  $x$  for which  $3 + \frac{1}{10}x = \frac{1}{2}x$ . This equation becomes  $3 = \frac{2}{5}x$ , or  $x = \frac{15}{2} = \mathbf{7.5}$ . Sure enough, 3.75 is half of 7.5, as desired.


4. Perhaps the most obvious place to start is the upper left corner. The two-digit multiples of 7 that clearly will not work are 49, 70, 77, 91, and 98. We can also eliminate 63, since then the first vertical number would

also have to be 63, but we can't use the 3 twice. This leaves 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 56, and 84. Trying each of these cases goes pretty quickly, since the 9 is already in place. One finds that there are two possible solutions; one is shown at right and the other is obtained by swapping the 1 and the 8. Regardless, the circled digit must be a **2**.



5. There are three different types of rotations which return the cube to its original position in space. The first involves piercing the cube with an axis passing through the center of a pair of opposite faces, then spinning the cube by  $90^\circ$ ,  $180^\circ$ , or  $270^\circ$ . But none of these are acceptable, since those opposite faces return to their starting positions. The second method is to pass an axis through a pair of opposite vertices, then spin the cube by  $120^\circ$  or  $240^\circ$ . There are four possible axes of this type, and two angles of rotation, giving eight such rotations, all of which work. Finally, one could take an axis through the midpoints of a pair of opposite edges and spin the cube by  $180^\circ$ ; these six rotations are also good. Hence there are a total of **14** acceptable orientations.

6. Let  $r$  be the radius of the smaller circle, write  $PT = a$ , and let  $OP = x$  be the distance we are looking for. Recall that the radius of the larger circle is  $OS = 10$ . If we let  $m\angle OPT = \theta$ , then  $m\angle OPS = 2\theta$ , since  $\overline{PT}$  is the angle bisector of  $\angle OPS$ . Using the double angle formula for sine and the right triangles formed by the tangents through  $P$ , we find that



$$\sin(2\theta) = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad \implies \quad \frac{10}{x} = 2 \left( \frac{r}{x} \right) \left( \frac{a}{x} \right).$$


Cross-multiplying and cancelling a factor of  $2x$  brings us to  $5x = ar$ . But  $ar = 2\text{area}(\triangle TOP)$ , so  $ar = 70$  according to the statement of the problem. Hence  $5x = 70$ , or  $x = \mathbf{14}$ .

7. We first notice that although the trinomial  $m^2 + 7m + 89$  cannot be factored,  $m^2 + 7m + 12 = (m + 3)(m + 4)$  can be. Since these two

January 2009

expressions differ by 77, the first will be divisible by 77 exactly when the second is. In order to be a multiple of 77,  $(m + 3)(m + 4)$  must be a multiple of both 7 and 11. There are four possible ways to accomplish this. Two are obvious—make  $(m + 3)$  a multiple of 77 using  $m = 74$ , or make  $(m + 4)$  a multiple of 77 by taking  $m = 73$ . But it is possible to do better. For example, we can arrange for  $7|(m + 4)$  and  $11|(m + 3)$  using  $m = 52$ . And we find that  $7|(m + 3)$  and  $11|(m + 4)$  by choosing  $m = \mathbf{18}$ , the lowest possible answer.

© Greater Testing Concepts 2008



★ REGIONAL LEVEL ★

## The Mandelbrot Competition

Round Three Solutions

---

Greater Testing Concepts  
PO Box 760  
Potsdam, NY 13676

The Mandelbrot Competition  
[www.mandelbrot.org](http://www.mandelbrot.org)  
[info@mandelbrot.org](mailto:info@mandelbrot.org)